HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)

March 1997

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration Environment, Fish and Wildlife P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

March 1997

CONTENTS

Section 1	Executive Summary	1-1
Section 2	Facility Description	2-1
Section 3	Compliance Status	3-1
Section 4	Remedial Actions	4-1
Section 5	Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries	5-1
Section 6	Annual Operating Expenditures	6-1

List of Tables

Table

- Summary Program Information for Fallert Creek Hatchery Coho (Type S)
- 2 Compliance with Performance Measures: Fallert Creek Hatchery Coho (Type S)
- Remedial Actions Required at Fallert Creek Hatchery Coho (Type S)
- 4 Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Fallert Creek Hatchery Coho (Type S)
- 5 Annual Operating Expenses: Fallert Creek Hatchery Coho (Type S)

6 Annual Operating Expenses - Fallert Creek Hatchery

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S) program. The hatchery is located along the Kalama River, 5 miles north of Kalama, Washington. The hatchery is used for adult collection, egg incubation, and rearing of tule fall chinook and early coho (Type S). It is also used for the final rearing and release of yearling spring chinook transferred from the Kalama Hatchery.

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) ÒStrategy for SalmonÓ and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit. IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility managementÕs response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S) Results

The Fallert Creek facility includes 1 pond for adult holding (also used for rearing), 8 concrete raceways, 1 rearing pond, and incubation facilities. Fallert Hatchery began operation in 1895 and

is one of the oldest hatcheries in the Columbia River Basin. Facilities operations are funded as part of the Mitchell Act - a program to mitigate for fishery losses caused by hydroelectric system development. The goal of the hatchery is to produce lower river fall chinook, spring chinook, and coho that will contribute to NE Pacific and Columbia River Basin commercial and sport fisheries.

The Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S) program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery was not meeting its adult return goal and needed to improve its fry-to-smolt survival. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the DO criteria, temperature criteria, water quality monitoring requirements, screening facility requirements, and predation control facilities requirements, pollution control facility requirements, and pathology-free water criteria, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery needed to develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan and a smoltification goal and monitoring plan. The hatchery was not meeting the flow and loading criteria for incubation and density and flow criteria for rearing. The hatchery was not meeting all the alarm, sanitation, and food storage requirements. The hatchery needed to collect an unbiased, representative sample of adults and follow the IHOT requirement for 1:1 spawning. The hatchery did not have a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program.

The specific areas in which the Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S) program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Collect an unbiased, representative sample of adults
- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
- Construct bird netting for 40,300 sf

- Construct pollution abatement ponds
- Develop alarm log
- Develop an approved genetics M&E plan
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
- Follow IHOT loading and flow criteria for incubation or revise criteria
- Follow IHOT protocols for not leaving buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat
- Follow IHOT protocols for sanitizing rearing vessels after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock
- Follow the IHOT protocol for 1:1 female:male ratio
- Heat 60 gpm of incubation water by 8°F
- Improve adult returns
- Improve inventory procedures for fry-to-smolt survival computations
- Increase DO by aeration
- Install alarm at intake
- Install security alarms
- Install telephone pagers
- Modify screens to allow regular cleaning
- Monitor TGP and record
- Provide 60,000 cf of additional pond volume
- Provide additional 1,100 gpm of water for the raceways or change program
- Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite,
 and contaminants

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Facility Description

Name: Fallert Creek Hatchery

Stock/Species: Spring Chinook

Fall Chinook

Coho (Type S)

Operating Agency: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

Funding Agency: Mitchell Act (NMFS)

Location: The hatchery is located along the Kalama River, 5 miles north of

Kalama, Washington.

Address: 1404 Kalama River Road

Kalama, WA 98625

Hatchery Manager: Mr. Mark Johnson
Phone: (360) 673-4400

Fax: (360) 673-2995

Purpose: Fallert Hatchery began operation in 1895 and is one of the oldest

hatcheries in the Columbia River Basin. Facilities operations are

funded as part of the Mitchell Act - a program to mitigate for fishery

losses caused by hydroelectric system development. The goal of the

hatchery is to produce lower river fall chinook, spring chinook, and

coho that will contribute to NE Pacific and Columbia River Basin

commercial and sport fisheries.

Production Goal: Spring Chinook

Produce 500,000 yearlings for on-station release

Fall Chinook

Produce 2,000,000 subyearlings for on-station release

Provide eggs/fish to other facilities

Coho (Type S)

Produce 525,000 yearlings for on-station release

Provide eggs/fish to other facilities

Water Supply: Facility water rights total 15,112 gpm from two sources: Kalama River

and Fallert Creek. The hatchery water supply comes from the Kalama

River by pumping and from Fallert Creek by gravity flow.

Facilities:

Adult Holding: 1 asphalt adult holding pond (also used for rearing) - 55,000 cf

Incubation: 28 16-tray stack incubators - 448 trays

Early Rearing: 4 deep troughs

Raceways: 8 concrete raceways - 6,400 cf each

Rearing Ponds: 1 gravel pond - 55,000 cf

1 asphalt rearing pond (also used for adult holding) - 55,000 cf

Satellite Facilities: None

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin*Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (referred to as IHOT 1995 in this report).

The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1 Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure

Information (PMs General 1-2)

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)
Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments.

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit. This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Fallert Creek Hatchery was conducted on March 20, 1997.

The following is the five-step audit process:

- 1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
- 2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.

- 3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.
- 4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
- 5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of Fallert Creek Hatchery Coho (Type S)

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (4) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S) program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- N/A (not applicable)
- Yes (in compliance)
- ? (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

 Table 1 Summary Program Information for Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)

Component	Location of Adult Holding, Spawning, Incubation, and Rearing									
	Fallert Creek									
	Hatchery									
Adult Collection	4									
Adult Holding	4									
Spawning	4									
Fertilization	4									
Incubation										
green-to-eyed	4									
eyed-to-hatch	4									
Rearing										
fry	4									
fingerlings	4									
smolts	4									
Acclimation/release	4									

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status		IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					ı	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#1	Are the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin		4			Columbia Basin System Planning	
	management plan?					Production Plan and Mitchell Act	
#2	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery		4			IHOT Operations Plan and Fallert Creek	
	operational plan?					Hatchery Operations Manual	
	Is it understood by staff?		4				
	Is it being followed?		4				
#3	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
	Do you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?		4			CWT and Missing Production Groups Report	
#4a	Adult contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and		4			Review of records	
	hatchery						
#4b	Adult pre-spawning survival as compared with		4			Review of records; in compliance 4 out	
	established goal					of last 4 years	
#4c	Egg-take as compared with established hatchery goal		4			Review of records; in compliance 4 out	
						of last 4 years	

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#4d	Green-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with		4			Review of records; in compliance 4 out	
	established goal					of last 4 years	
#4e	Eyed-egg to fry survival as compared with established		4			Review of records; in compliance 3 out	
	goal					of last 3 years	
#4f	Fry to smolt survival as compared with established				4	Review of records; in compliance 3 out	
	goal					of last 4 years	Improve inventory procedures for fry-to-
							smolt survival computation
#4g	Production as compared with established goal				4	Review of records; in compliance 2 out	
						of last 4 years	See remedial action listed under PM #11
#4h	Percent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with				4	Review of records; in compliance 1 out	
	established goal					of last 4 years	Improve adult returns
#4i	Number of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults	4				Review of records/Discussion	
	to meet basinwide needs						

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Complia	nce Statı	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5a	Temperature					To meet criteria need to:	
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for				4	Chill 2000 gpm by 13 °F	None
	spawning?					Heat 2000 gpm by 5 °F	
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for				4	Review of records/Discussion	Heat 60 gpm by 8 °F
	incubation?						
	medodion.						
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for				4	Chill 4,000 gpm by 14 °F	None
					4		Tronc
	rearing?	1				Heat 4,000 gpm by 8 °F	
#5b	Dissolved gases						
 			ļ	İ	ļ		
	Is the oxygen level near saturation?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Increase DO by aeration
	Is the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?			4		Review of records/Discussion	Monitor TGP and record
#5c	Chemistry						
	Ammonia (un-ionized)			4		No data	Run analysis
	Carbon Dioxide			4		See above	See above
	Chlorine			4		See above	See above
	pН			4		See above	See above
	Copper			4		See above	See above
	Hydrogen Sulfide			4		See above	See above
	Iron			4		See above	See above
	Zinc			4		See above	See above

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5d	Turbidity						
	Does your turbidity meet the criteria?			4		No data	Run analysis

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statı	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					T	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5e	Alkalinity and hardness						
	Does your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?			4		No data	Run analysis
#5f	Nitrite						
	Does your nitrite meet the criteria?			4		No data	Run analysis
#5g	Contaminants						
	Aldrin			4		No data	Run analysis
	Endrin			4		See above	See above
	Dieldrin			4		See above	See above
	Heptachlor			4		See above	See above
	Chlordane			4		See above	See above
	Methoxychlor			4		See above	See above
	Lindane			4		See above	See above
	Malathion			4		See above	See above
	Guthion			4		See above	See above
#5h	Pathogens						
	What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water?						
	·						
	Adult holding				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None
	Incubation				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	See above
	Early rearing				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	See above

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Rearing				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	See above
Others				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	See above

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					I	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#6	Alarm Systems						
	Do the following areas have alarms?						
	Intake				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install alarm at intake
	Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Incubation facilities		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Quarantine areas and facilities	4				No quarantine areas and facilities	
	Water treatment systems	4				No water treatment systems	
	Security				4	Have security person on-station	Install security alarms
	Are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite residences?		4			Discussion	
	Are water flow alarms checked daily?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Are all other alarms checked weekly?		4			Discussion	
	Is there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and				4	Review of records/Discussion	Develop alarm log
	maintenance requirements?						
	Are telephone pagers used?				4	Discussion	Install telephone pagers

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#7	Adult collection and holding facilities						
	Do you meet the adult holding criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#8	Incubation facilities	IV/A	Tes	•	140		
	Type 1: Vertical tray Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Type 2: <u>Deep troughs</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
#9	Rearing facilities Type 1: Raceways 200x800 Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Type 2: Asphalt rearing pond Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	See remedial actions listed under PM #19
	Type 3: <u>Dirt rearing pond</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?	4					

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#10	Screening facilities						
	Do you meet the approach velocity criteria?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are the fish screens regularly cleaned?				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Modify screens to allow regular cleaning
	Does the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are rearing containers double screened for fish that should not be released to adjacent water?	4				Released on station	
#11	Predator control facilities						
	Are your predation control facilities effective?				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Construct bird netting for 40,300 sf

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	C	Compliar	ice Stati	1S	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#12	Food storage facilities and quality control	IVA	Tes	•	140		
	Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	(dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture)						
	follow food manufacturerÕs recommendations?						
	Does a regional quality control officer oversee						
	production procedures and monitor:						
	Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients				4	Discussion	Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed
	meet specifications?						preparation
	Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or				4	Discussion	See above
	other additives?						
	Analyze ingredients contained in the final food				4	Discussion	See above
	product to ensure that feed specifications have been						
	met?						
	Are the foods stored and handled according to the						
	following criteria?						

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Moist pellets should not exceed 10°F at point of	4				Not used	
delivery.						
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.	4				Not used	
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.				4	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for not leaving buckets of feed or feed containers outside
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		4			Discussion	exposed to light or heat
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80°F and above).		4			Discussion	
	delivery. Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding. Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat. Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish. Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive	Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of delivery. Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding. Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat. Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish. Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive	Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of delivery. Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding. Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat. Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish. Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive	Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of delivery. Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding. Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat. Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish. Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive	Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of delivery. Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding. Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat. Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish. Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive	Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of delivery. Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding. Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat. Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish. Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Complia	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#13	Release facilities						
	Do the release facilities ensure that fish are not		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	subjected to adverse conditions?						
#14	Pollution abatement facilities						
	Do the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Construct pollution abatement ponds
	and state regulations (or good engineering practice)?						
	Are pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?	4				None	
#15	Transportation facilities						
	Are the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT	4				Release on station	
	performance measures for transportation practices?						

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
		77/			l	Non-Compliance	Compliance
W1.6	D 14 1 14 4	N/A	Yes	?	No		
#16	Broodstock selection practices						
	Is the donor selection process document attached? (PM #40a)	4				Existing program; does not apply	
	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)	4				Existing program; does not apply	
#17	Spawning practices						
	Were the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #42
	ratios, and retifization protocols used: (1 M #420-g)						
#18	Incubation practices						
	Are specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery		4			Reviewed IHOT Operations Plan and	Develop specific incubation standards for
	operations plan?					Hatchery Operations Plan	the IHOT Operations Plan
	Are incubation practices written?		4			See above	
	Incubation Type 1: <u>Vertical tray</u> (see PM #8)				4	Review of records/Discussion	Follow IHOT loading and flow criteria
	Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?						for incubation or revise criteria

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Incubation Type 2: <u>Deep troughs</u> (see PM #8)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?						

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status			Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#19	Rearing practices						
	Are specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery		4			Reviewed IHOT Operations Plan and	Develop specific rearing standards for the
	operations plan?					Hatchery Operations Plan	IHOT Operations Plan
							-
	Are rearing practices written?		4			See above	
	Rearing Unit Type 1: <u>Raceways (200x800)</u>						
	(see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Provide additional 1,100 gpm or change
							program
	Rearing Unit Type 2: Asphalt pond						
	(see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Provide 60,000 cf of additional pond
							volume
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Rearing Unit Type 3: <u>Dirt pond</u> (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?	4				Not used	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	4				Not used	
#20	Smolt quality						
	Do you produce a high quality smolt?		4			Discussion	

Table 2	Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)	Compliance With Performance Measures

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		1S	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#21	Fish health management practices						
							4
	Are the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	conducted? (PM #26)						
	Are the annual broodstock inspections being		4		<u></u>	Review of records/Discussion	
			4			Review of fecolds/Discussion	
	conducted? (PM #27)						
	Is there pathogen-free water (PM #5h)and are the				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5h
	sanitation procedures being followed? (PM #28)						
	communical procedures coming rolls (1211 120)						
	Are the following water quality parameters within						
	criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
	Water temperature				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
	Dissolved gases				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b
	Chemistry			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
	Turbidity			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d
	Alkalinity and hardness			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
	Nitrite			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
	Contaminants			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
	Are rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
(PM #31)						

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status			Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#22a	Does hatchery performance meet requirements						
	outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in						
	subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas?						
#22a1	Percent smoltification						
	Do you measure percent smoltification?				4	Discussion	Develop smoltification goal and monitor
	Do you have a smoltification goal				4	Discussion	See above
	Did you meet the smoltification criteria?			4		Discussion	See above
#22a2	Rearing density (prior to release)						
	Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
	release?						
#22a3	Disease condition (at release)						
	Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22a4	release? Number (at release)						
112244	Transcr (de receise)						
	Did you meet the release number goal?				4	Review of records/Discussion	See remedial action listed under PM #11
#22a5	Size at release						
	Did you meet the size goal?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#22a6	Dates of release						
	Did you meet the release date goal?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22a7	Location of release						
	Did you release the fish at the specified location?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22b	Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the						
	subbasin?						
	Are the fish reared in the subbasin?	J	4			Discussion	
	Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?		4			Discussion	
#22c	Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?		4			Discussion	
		ı	I	ı	1		

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Stati	us	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#23	Transportation facilities	IVA	Tes	•	110		
	Do transportation equipment and personnel receive disinfection before and after use?	4				On station releases	
	Is the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 200 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or formaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity of 60% for 2 hrs)?	4				See above	
	Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?	4				See above	
	Is the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% stock solution/liter water)?	4				See above	
	Is other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, nets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and other equipment using one of the following solutions?	4				See above	

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes						
600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30						
minutes						
200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes						
Do personnel wear protective garments when handling	4				See above	
fish eggs or cultural water?						
non eggs of cultural water.						
Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive	4				See above	
	4				See above	
an inspection and service prior to the release season?						
Is a daily service inspection completed before starting	4				See above	
up and leaving for the day?						

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	18	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#23	Transportation facilities	1771	105	•	110		
(cont)							
	Does the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior	4				On station releases	
	to loading?						
	Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water	4				See above	
	level, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system						
	settings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling						
	density tables checked and reviewed occur prior to						
	loading fish in the transport unit?						
	Do hauling criteria include checking the fish 45	4				See above	
	minutes to 1 hour after loading?						
	When fish are active and systems are functioning	4				See above	
	properly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and						
	maintained at approximately 8 ppm?						
	Is water temperature in the transportation unit	4				See above	
	maintained within the 42-48 °F range?						

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Do fish releasing procedures include the following						
criteria?						
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.	4				See above	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.	4				See above	
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.	4				See above	

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#24	Evaluation practices				2.0		
	Has the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies to:						
	Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?		4			Discussion	
	Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?		4			Discussion	
	Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?		4			Discussion	
	Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?		4			Discussion	
	Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status		18	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#25	Training practices						
	Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does each staff member have a personal training plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM#	Description of Performance Measure		Complia	nce Statı	18	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#26	Are monthly hatchery monitoring visits being	11/14	Tes	•	110		
	conducted by a qualified fish health specialist as						
	described below?						
	Conduct visit at least monthly		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Monitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Examine a representative sample of healthy and moribund fish from each lot.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Review fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Report finding and results of necropsies on standard form.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Recommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Summarize fish health status or stock prior to release or transfer to another facility.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#27	Are all of the functions of the hatchery yearly monitoring visits being completed as described below?						

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No	
Annually examine each broodstock for the presence of		4			Review of records/Discussion
reportable viral pathogens.					
Annually screen each salmon broodstock for the presence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .		4			Review of records/Discussion
Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of qualified fish health specialist.		4			Review of records/Discussion

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#28	Is the hatchery following accepted sanitation						
	procedures?						
	Are there any sources of pathogen-free water,		4			Discussion	
	especially for incubation and early rearing?						
	Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and						
	being followed as described below?						
	Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	incubation facilityÕs entrance and exit?						
	Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use						
	elsewhere in the hatchery?						
	Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?						

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with						
any other fish lots or at any other location?						
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for sanitizing rearing vessels after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	stock

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					1	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#29	Are water quality parameters being followed?						
	Are the following water quality parameters within						
	criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
_	Water temperature				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
	Dissolved gases				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b
	Chemistry			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
	Turbidity			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d
	Alkalinity and hardness			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
	Nitrite			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
	Contaminants			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
	Go to PM #21						
#30	Are incubation and rearing standards being followed?						
	Are the incubation practices following the IHOT				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #18
	incubation criteria? (PM #18)						
	Are the rearing practices following the IHOT				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
	criteria? (PM #19)						
	Go to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19						
#31	Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		4			Discussion	

Table 2	Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)	Compliance With Performance Measures

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status			Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#32	Is the hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin		4			Columbia Basin System Planning	
	management plan?					Production Plan and Mitchell Act	
	Go to subbasin plan PM #1			•			
#33	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery		4			Review IHOT Operations Plan Hatchery	
	operational plan?					Operations Plan	
	Go to operational plan PM #2						
#34	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?		4			CWT and Missing Production Groups	
						Reports	
	Go to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3						

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	PM # Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#35	Does the hatchery program meet requirements	IV/A	165	•	140		
	established in the regional hatchery policies and subbasin planning documents in the following areas: species, stock, broodstock collection location, broodstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy, and spawning and egg-take protocols?						
	Does the hatchery program meet the requirements for the following?						
	Species protocols (PM #1)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Stock protocols (PM #1)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b for existing program; PM #39b for new program)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #42c
	Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #41b

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #42e
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #42f

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#36	Does the hatchery's performance meet requirements outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas: percent smoltification, rearing density, disease condition, and the number, size date(s), and location of release?		165		110		
	Percent smoltification (PM #22a1)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a1
	Rearing density (PM #22a2)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a2
	Disease condition (PM #22a3)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Number at release (PM #22a4)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a4
	Size at release (PM #22a5)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Date of release (PM #22a6)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Location of release (PM #22a7)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#37	Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the		4			Discussion	
	subbasin?						
#38	See PM #22b Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?		4			Discussion	
	See PM #22c						

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		27/4	*7			Non-Compliance	Compliance
#39	For new programs, has a broodstock collection plan	N/A	Yes	?	No		
	been developed?						
#39a	Is the broodstock collection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	For a non-captive broodstock program:	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39b	Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?						
#39c	Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	For a captive broodstock program:						
#39d	Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39e	Were full-sib crosses avoided?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39f	Is the broodstock collection plan understood and being followed by staff?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No	
#40	For a new program, was the donor selection outline					
	followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?					
#40a	Is a donor selection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply
#40b	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the broodstock?	4				Existing Program; does not apply
#40c	Was the target stock recommended in the donor selection process actually used?	4				Existing Program; does not apply

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status			Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#41	For existing programs, were the broodstock collection						
	procedures followed?						
#41a	Is the broodstock collection plan written?		4			Review broodstock collection plan	
	Does the broodstock collection plan follow the guideline:						
#41b	Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?				4	Discussion	collect an unbiased, representative sample of adults
#41c	Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?		4			Discussion	sample of additis
#41d	Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	PM # Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#42	Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female	14/11	103	•	110		
	ratios, and fertilization protocols used?						
#42a	Are the spawning protocols written?		4			Review of spawning protocols	
#42b	Are daily or weekly spawning logs available?		4			Review of records	
#42c	Was the appropriate number of spawners used?				4	Discussion	Follow the IHOT protocols for 1:1
#42d	Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits?		4			Discussion	female:male ratio
#42e	Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards?				4	Discussion	Follow the IHOT protocols for 1:1 female:male ratio
#42f	Were the fertilization protocols followed?				4	Discussion	Follow the IHOT fertilization protocols
#42g	If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of each male/female cross?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#43	Is there a genetics monitoring and evaluation program	1 1/12	100	·	110		
	in place?						
	Is a genetics monitoring and evaluation program available?				4	None provided	Develop an approved genetics M&E plan
	Does the plan address the following elements listed in IHOT:						
	Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?				4	Discussion	See above
	Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?				4	Discussion	See above
	Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?				4	Discussion	See above
	Is the program understood and followed by staff?				4	Discussion	See above

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

Type	Description
	No. 100 Port of the Port of th
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance
	Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly
	definable at this time

Remedial Actions at Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S) program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates (\pm 40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action.

Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs ¹
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human		
control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
Improve adult returns		4h
Install security alarms		6
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or		
procedures		
Improve inventory procedures for fry-to-smolt survival computations		4f
Develop alarm log	 	6
Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation	: : : :	12
Follow IHOT protocols for not leaving buckets of feed or feed		12
containers outside exposed to light or heat	 - - - -	
Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT		18-19
Operations Plan		
Follow IHOT loading and flow criteria for incubation or revise criteria		18
Develop smoltification goal and monitor		22a1
Follow IHOT protocols for sanitizing rearing vessels after fish are		28
removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock	" " " "	
Collect an unbiased, representative sample of adults	: : :	41
Follow the IHOT protocol for 1:1 female:male ratio		42
Develop an approved genetics M&E plan		43
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage		
or interval		
Monitor TGP and record	: : :	5b
Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity,		5c-5g
hardness, nitrite, and contaminants		

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs²
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Heat 60 gpm of incubation water by 8 °F	\$40,000	5a
Increase DO by aeration	\$35,000	5b
Install alarm at intake	\$10,000	6
Install telephone pagers	\$5,000	6
Modify screens to allow regular cleaning	\$200,000	10
Construct bird netting for 40,300 sf	\$60,000	11
Construct pollution abatement ponds	\$50,000	14
Provide additional 1,100 gpm of water for the raceways or change	\$30,000	19
program		
Provide 60,000 cf of additional pond volume	\$75,000	19, 22a2
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital		
expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
None		

Hatchery Contribution to

Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S) program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:

Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)

Year	Fisheries	Spawning Grounds ¹	Hatchery ¹	Total Combined Contribution	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	
1981					
1982					

Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

1983					
1984					
1985					
1986					
1987					
1988	1,373	8	447	1,828	5.94%
1989	58	0	19	77	0.25%
1990	190	0	96	286	0.94%
1991	10	0	72	82	0.26%
1992					

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S) program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Table 5a).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)

Hatchery	1994	1995	1996
Fallert Creek Hatchery	\$54,233	\$52,143	\$87,748
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Program Costs	\$54,233	\$52,143	\$87,748

The total expenditures for the Fallert Creek Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Tables 6a, 6b, and 6c).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Fallert Creek Hatchery

Program	1994	1995	1996
1. Spring Chinook	\$101,542	\$106,369	\$133,586
2. Fall Chinook	\$36,901	\$53,076	\$55,661
3. Coho (Type S)	\$54,233	\$52,143	\$87,748
4.			
5.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$192,680	\$211,588	\$276,996

Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Fallert Creek Hatchery - Coho (Type S)

Expenditure Occurring at Fallert Creek Hatchery

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs	\$192,680	\$211,588	\$276,996
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$192,680	\$211,588	\$276,996
Source of Funds			
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	37,730	28,824	25,000
Total Production (lb)	134,049	116,964	124,412
Program as Percent of Total	28.1%	24.6%	31.7%
Program Costs	\$54,233	\$52,143	\$87,748

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Fallert Creek Hatchery by Program

Spring Chinook

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs	\$192,680	\$211,588	\$276,996
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$192,680	\$211,588	\$276,996
Source of Funds			
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	70,647	58,800	60,000
Total Production (lb)	134,049	116,964	124,412
Program as Percent of Total	52.7%	50.3%	48.2%
Program Costs	\$101,542	\$106,369	\$133,586

Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Fallert Creek Hatchery by Program

Fall Chinook

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs	\$192,680	\$211,588	\$276,996
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$192,680	\$211,588	\$276,996
Source of Funds			
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	25,672	29,340	39,412
Total Production (lb)	134,049	116,964	124,412
Program as Percent of Total	19.2%	25.1%	20.1%
Program Costs	\$36,901	\$53,076	\$55,661

Table 6c. Detailed Expenditures at Fallert Creek Hatchery by Program

Coho (Type S)

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs	\$192,680	\$211,588	\$276,996
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$192,680	\$211,588	\$276,996
Source of Funds			
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	37,730	28,824	25,000
Total Production (lb)	134,049	116,964	124,412
Program as Percent of Total	28.1%	24.6%	31.7%
Program Costs	\$54,233	\$52,143	\$87,748

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT

performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.